Interview Summary

Application No.

10/623,198

Examiner

Thao X. Le

Applicant(s)

BARETZ ET AL.

Art Unit

2814

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>Thao X. Le</u> .	(3) Mr. Steven Hultquist.		
(2) <u>Dr. Bruce Baretz</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 13 April 2007.			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative	.]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>31</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Stevenson and Kitagawa.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The claimed limitation was discussed and the Applicant will file 132 to remove Tadamoto and 132 declaration regarding interpretation of Stevenson</u> .			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
·			

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required